Course outline

- L1-L5 Purpose, models and loop-shaping by hand
- L6-L8 Limitations on achievable performance
- L9-L11 Controller optimization: Analytic approach
- L12-L14 Controller optimization: Numerical approach
- Why Linear Quadratic Control?
- Dynamic Programming
- Riccati equation
- Optimal State Feedback

The sections 9.1-9.4 in the book treat essentially the same material as we cover in lecture 9-11. However, the main derivation of the LQG controller in appendix 9A is different.

Math Repetition

Suppose the matrix Q is symmetric: $Q = Q^T$. Then

- Q > 0 means that $x^T Q x > 0$ for any $x \neq 0$
 - True iff all eigenvalues of Q are positive.
 - We say that Q is positive definite.
- $Q \ge 0$ means that $x^T Q x \ge 0$ for any $x \ne 0$
 - True iff all eigenvalues of Q are non-negative.
 - We say that Q is positive semidefinite.

Math Repetition

The trace of a matrix is the sum of all diagonal elements:

trace
$$Q = \sum_{i}^{n} Q_{i}$$

A useful property of the matrix trace:

trace ABC = trace CAB = trace BCA

Parseval's formula: Suppose that f(t) and g(t) have finite energy and that their Laplace transforms are F(s) and G(s), respectively. Then

$$2\pi\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f(t)^{*}g(t)dt=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}F(i\omega)^{*}G(i\omega)d\omega$$

A General Optimization Setup



The objective is to find a controller that optimizes the transfer matrix $G_{zw}(s)$ from disturbances w to controlled outputs z.

Lecture 9-11: Problems with analytic solutions Lectures 12-14: Problems with numeric solutions

Impulse response optimization

Let $g_{zw}(t)$ be the impulse response corresponding to the transfer function $G_{zw}(s)$. Then

$$\mathrm{trace} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q G_{zw}(i\omega) G_{zw}(i\omega)^* d\omega = 2\pi \ \mathrm{trace} \int_{0}^{\infty} Q g_{zw}(t) g_{zw}(t)^* dt$$

so LQG control minimizes the impulse response "energy".

Thickness control in paper machine



All paper production below the test limit is wasted. Good control allows for lower setpoint with the same waste. The average thickness is lower, which saves significant costs.

Linear Quadratic Gaussian Control (LQG)



For a linear plant, minimize a quadratic function of the map from disturbance w to controlled variable z

Minimize trace $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} QG_{zw}(i\omega)G_{zw}(i\omega)^*d\omega$

Two interpretations of this criterion...

Stochastic interpretation of LQG



$$\|z\|_Q^2 = \mathbf{E}(z^T Q z) = \text{trace } \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q G_{zv}(i\omega) \Phi_v(\omega) G_{zv}(i\omega)^* d\omega$$

is the weighted output variance when the input v has spectral density $\Phi_v(\omega) = V(i\omega)V(i\omega)^*$. Hence the output variance can be minimized by defining $G_{zw}(i\omega) = G_{zv}(i\omega)V(i\omega)$ and solving the LQG problem

Minimize trace
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q G_{zw}(i\omega) G_{zw}(i\omega)^* d\omega$$

Mini-problem

Determine u_0 and u_1 as functions of x_0 if the objective is to minimize

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + u_0^2 + u_1^2$$

when

$$x_1 = x_0 + u_0$$
$$x_2 = x_1 + u_1$$

Hint: Go backwards in time.

Dynamic programming in linear quadratic control

$$T_1$$
 $T_1 + \epsilon$ T

An optimal trajectory on the time interval $[T_1,T]$ must be optimal also on each of the subintervals $[T_1,T_1+\epsilon]$ and $[T_1+\epsilon,T].$

Let $x^T S x$ be the optimal cost on the time interval $[T_1, \infty]$:

$$x^{T}Sx = \min_{\mathbf{u}} \int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{1} & Q_{12} \\ Q_{12}^{T} & Q_{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix} dt \quad \text{with } \mathbf{x}(T_{1}) = x$$

Let $u = \mathbf{u}(T_1)$. Split interval to $[T_1, T_1 + \epsilon]$ and $[T_1 + \epsilon, \infty]$ with ϵ small. Neglecting ϵ^2 gives $\mathbf{x}(T_1 + \epsilon) = x + (Ax + Bu)\epsilon$

Completion of squares

The scalar case: Suppose c > 0.

$$ax^{2} + 2bxu + cu^{2} = x\left(a - \frac{b^{2}}{c}\right)x + \left(u + \frac{b}{c}x\right)c\left(u + \frac{b}{c}x\right)$$

is minimized by $u = -\frac{b}{c}x$. The minimum is $(a - b^2/c) x^2$.

The matrix case: Suppose $Q_u > 0$. Then

$$x^{T}Q_{x}x + 2x^{T}Q_{xu}u + u^{T}Q_{u}u = (u + Q_{u}^{-1}Q_{xu}^{T}x)^{T}Q_{u}(u + Q_{u}^{-1}Q_{xu}^{T}x) + x^{T}(Q_{x} - Q_{xu}Q_{u}^{-1}Q_{xu}^{T})x$$

is minimized by $u = -Q_u^{-1}Q_{xu}^T x$. The minimum is $x^T(Q_x - Q_{xu}Q_u^{-1}Q_{xu}^T)x$.

Today's problem: State Feedback



Minimize $\int_0^\infty \left(x(t)^T Q_1 x(t) + 2x(t)^T Q_{12} u(t) + u(t)^T Q_2 u(t) \right) dt$
subject to $\dot{x} = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0$

(This minimizes impulse response $\int_0^\infty z^T \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_{12} \\ Q_{12}^T & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} z dt$ when $z = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ u \end{bmatrix}$)

Dynamic programming, Richard E. Bellman 1957

7

 $T_1 \qquad T_1 + \epsilon$

An optimal trajectory on the time interval $[T_1, T]$ must be optimal also on each of the subintervals $[T_1, T_1 + \epsilon]$ and $[T_1 + \epsilon, T]$.



Dynamic programming in linear quadratic control

$$\mathbf{x}(T_1) = x, \qquad \mathbf{x}(T_1 + \epsilon) = x + (Ax + Bu)\epsilon$$

$$^{T}Sx = \min_{\mathbf{u}} \int_{T_1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & Q_{12} \\ Q_{12}^{T} & Q_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix} dt$$

$$= \min_{\mathbf{u}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ u \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & Q_{12} \\ Q_{12}^{T} & Q_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ u \end{pmatrix} \epsilon + \int_{T_1 + \epsilon}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & Q_{12} \\ Q_{12}^{T} & Q_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix} \epsilon + \left[x + (Ax + Bu)\epsilon \right]^{T}S \left[x + (Ax + Bu)\epsilon \right] \right\}$$

by definition of S. Again neglecting ϵ^2 gives **Bellman's** equation:

$$0 = \min_{u} \left[\left(x^T Q_1 x + 2x^T Q_{12} u + u^T Q_2 u \right) + 2x^T S (Ax + Bu) \right]$$

The Riccati Equation

Completion of squares in Bellman's equation gives

$$0 = \min_{u} \left(\left(x^{T} Q_{1} x + 2x^{T} Q_{12} u + u^{T} Q_{2} u \right) + 2x^{T} S (Ax + Bu) \right)$$

= $\min_{u} \left(x^{T} [Q_{1} + A^{T} S + SA] x + 2x^{T} [Q_{12} + SB] u + u^{T} Q_{2} u \right)$
= $x^{T} \left(Q_{1} + A^{T} S + SA - (SB + Q_{12}) Q_{2}^{-1} (SB + Q_{12})^{T} \right) x$

with minimum attained for $u = -Q_2^{-1}(SB + Q_{12})^T x$.

The equation

x

$$0 = Q_1 + A^T S + SA - (SB + Q_{12})Q_2^{-1}(SB + Q_{12})^T$$

is called the algebraic Riccati equation



Example: First order system

For $\dot{x}(t) = u(t), x(0) = x_0$,

Minimize	$\int_0^\infty \left\{ x(t)^2 + \rho u(t)^2 \right\} dt$
Riccati equation	$0 = 1 - S^2/\rho \Rightarrow S = \sqrt{\rho}$
Controller	$L = S/\rho = 1/\sqrt{ ho} \Rightarrow u = -x/\sqrt{ ho}$
Closed loop system	$\dot{x} = -x/\sqrt{ ho} \Rightarrow x = x_0 e^{-t/\sqrt{ ho}}$
Optimal cost	$\int_0^\infty \left\{ x^2 + \rho u^2 \right\} dt = x_0^T S x_0 = x_0^2 \sqrt{\rho}$

What values of ρ give the fastest response? Why? What values of ρ give smallest optimal cost? Why?

How to solve the LQ problem in Matlab

[L,S,E] = LQR(A,B,Q,R,N) calculates the optimal gain matrix L such that the state-feedback law u = -Lx minimizes the cost function

J = Integral x'Qx + u'Ru + 2*x'Nu dt

subject to the system dynamics dx/dt = Ax + Bu

E = EIG(A-B*L)

LQRD solves the corresponding discrete time problem

Linear Quadratic Optimal Control

Problem:

Minimize
$$\int_0^\infty \left(x(t)^T Q_1 x(t) + 2x(t)^T Q_{12} u(t) + u(t)^T Q_2 u(t) \right) dt$$

subject to $\dot{x} = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0$

Solution: Assume (A, B) controllable. Then there is a unique S > 0 solving the Riccati equation

$$Q = Q_1 + A^T S + SA - (SB + Q_{12})Q_2^{-1}(SB + Q_{12})^T$$

The optimal control law is u = -Lx with $L = Q_2^{-1}(SB + Q_{12})^T$. The minimal value is $x_0^T Sx_0$.

Remark: The feedback gain L does not depend on x_0

Theorem: Stability of the closed-loop system

Assume that

$$Q=egin{pmatrix} Q_1&Q_{12}\ Q_{12}^T&Q_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive definite and that there exists a positive-definite steady-state solution *S* to the algebraic Riccati equation. Then the optimal controller u(t) = -Lx(t) gives an asymptotically stable closed-loop system $\dot{x}(t) = (A - BL)x(t)$.

Proof:

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t)^T Sx(t) = 2x^T S\dot{x} = 2x^T S(Ax + Bu)$$

= $-\left(x^T Q_1 x + 2x^T Q_{12} u + u^T Q_2 u\right) < 0 \text{ for } x(t) \neq 0$

Hence $x(t)^T S x(t)$ is decreasing and tends to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Example – Double integrator

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Q_2 = \rho \quad x(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

States and inputs (dotted) for $\rho = 0.01$, $\rho = 0.1$, $\rho = 1$, $\rho = 10$



Stability robustness of optimal state feedback



Notice that the distance from $L(i\omega I - A)^{-1}B$ to -1 is never smaller than 1. This is always true(!) for linear quadratic optimal state feedback when $Q_1 > 0$, $Q_{12} = 0$ and $Q_2 = \rho > 0$ is scalar. Hence the phase margin is at least 60°.

Proof of stability robustness

Using the Riccati equation

$$0 = Q_1 + A^T S + S A - L^T Q_2 L \qquad L = Q_2^{-1} (SB + Q_{12})^T$$

it is possible to show that

 $\begin{bmatrix} I + L(i\omega - A)^{-1}B \end{bmatrix}^* Q_2 \begin{bmatrix} I + L(i\omega - A)^{-1}B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (i\omega - A)^{-1}B \\ I \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_{12} \\ Q_{12}^* & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (i\omega - A)^{-1}B \\ I \end{bmatrix}$

In particular, with $Q_1 > 0$, $Q_{12} = 0$, $Q_2 = \rho > 0$

$$\begin{split} 1+L(i\omega-A)^{-1}B\Big]^* \rho \left[1+L(i\omega-A)^{-1}B\right] &= B^T[(i\omega-A)^{-1}]^*Q_1(i\omega-A)^{-1}B+\rho\\ &\geq \rho \end{split}$$

Dividing by ρ gives

$$|1 + L(i\omega - A)^{-1}B| \ge 1$$