



$$G_1 \rightarrow 1$$

$$G_2 \rightarrow 4$$

$$G_3 \rightarrow 3$$

$$G_4 \rightarrow 2$$

4.

a.

$$U(z) = K(1 + T_d \frac{z-1}{h})E(z)$$

b.

$$U(z) = K(1 + \frac{2T_d}{h} \frac{z-1}{z+1})E(z)$$

c. Using forward difference the controller becomes non-causal and, hence, cannot be implemented.

5.

a. Since the  $A$ -matrix is nilpotent,  $\Phi = \exp(Ah)$  can be computed by series expansion.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi = \exp(Ah) = I + Ah + A^2 h^2 / 2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h & h^2/2 - h \\ 0 & 1 & h \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma = \int_0^h \exp(As) ds B = \int_0^h \begin{pmatrix} s^2/2 - s \\ s \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} ds = \begin{pmatrix} h^3/6 - h^2/2 \\ h^2/2 \\ h \end{pmatrix}$$

The discrete time system is

$$x(t+h) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h & h^2/2 - h \\ 0 & 1 & h \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} h^3/6 - h^2/2 \\ h^2/2 \\ h \end{pmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t)$$

- b.** For small values of  $h$ , the elements of  $\Gamma$  and the off-diagonal elements of  $\Phi$  will be very small, and the diagonal elements of  $\Phi$  are equal to 1. This means that at each time step, the new value of the state will be equal to the old value of the state plus a small increment. If this increment is too small, it will be rounded to zero.

**6.**

**a.**

$$x(kh+h) = \Phi x(kh) + \Gamma_1 u(kh-h) + \Gamma_0 u(kh)$$

where

$$\Phi = e^{-h} = 0.6065$$

$$\Gamma_1 = e^{-(h-\tau)} 2(1 - e^{-\tau}) = 2e^{-(h-\tau)} - 2e^{-h} = 0.5966$$

$$\Gamma_0 = 2(1 - e^{-(h-\tau)}) = 0.1903$$

- b.** The augmented state space system with the state vector  $z(kh) = [x(kh) \ u(kh-h)]^T$  is

$$z(kh+h) = \Phi_a z(kh) + \Gamma_a u(kh)$$

where

$$\Phi_a = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi & \Gamma_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6065 & 0.5966 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma_a = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1903 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- c.** The desired characteristic polynomial is

$$z(z - e^{-1}) = z^2 - 0.3679z$$

With  $L = (l_1 \ l_2)$  the characteristic polynomial is

$$\det(zI - (\Phi_a - \Gamma_a L)) = \det \begin{pmatrix} z - (0.6065 - 0.1903l_1) & 0.1903l_2 - 0.5966 \\ l_1 & z + l_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= z^2 + (l_2 + 0.1903l_1 - 0.6065)z + 0.5966l_1 - 0.6065l_2$$

Setting the corresponding coefficients equal leads to the following two equations

$$\begin{aligned} l_2 + 0.1903l_1 - 0.6065 &= -0.3679 \\ 0.5966l_1 - 0.6065l_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

with the solution

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= 0.2032 \\ l_2 &= 0.1999 \end{aligned}$$

For unit gain  $l_r$  should be

$$l_r = \frac{1}{C(I - \Phi_a + \Gamma_a L)^{-1} \Gamma_a} = \frac{1}{1.245} \approx 0.8032$$

```
d. while(1) {
    y = getY();
    r = getRef();

    // CalculateOutput code
    u = lr*r - l1*y - temp;
    setOutput(u);
    // UpdateState code
    uold = u;
    temp = l2*uold;
    // Sleep code
}
```

**7.**

- a.** The sufficient Liu-Layland test gives that the smallest value of the period is  $T_{min} = 4.77$ . The hyperbolic schedulability test gives that  $T_{min} = 4.5$ . However, by response time analysis arguments or simply by drawing the schedule it can easily be seen that  $T_{min} = 4$ .
- b.** The sufficient Liu-Layland test gives that the largest value of the execution time is  $C_{max} = 3.1421$ . The hyperbolic schedulability test gives that  $C_{max} = 3.33$ . However, by response time analysis arguments or simply by drawing the schedule it can easily be seen that  $C_{max} = 4$ .
- c.** The response time analysis formulae, i.e.,

$$R_i = C_i + \sum_{\forall j \in hp(i)} \left\lceil \frac{R_i}{T_j} \right\rceil C_j$$

consists of two terms. The first one is the execution time for the task itself and the second is the amount of time that the task is preempted by higher priority task. We can use the same approach to calculate the maximum

starting time, however, in the analysis we should pretend that the execution time for the task is very small, i.e., equal to  $\epsilon$ , and then we let  $\epsilon$  approach zero.

Hence, the formulae for the latest possible starting time can be formulated as

$$S_i = \epsilon + \sum_{\forall j \in hp(i)} \left\lceil \frac{S_i}{T_j} \right\rceil C_j$$

If we apply this to our task set we will get the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} S_A &= \epsilon \\ S_B^0 &= 0, S_B^1 = \epsilon, S_B^2 = \epsilon + \left\lceil \frac{\epsilon}{1} \right\rceil 0.2 = \epsilon + 0.2 \\ S_B^3 &= \epsilon + \left\lceil \frac{\epsilon + 0.2}{1} \right\rceil 0.2 = \epsilon + 0.2 \\ S_C^0 &= 0, S_C^1 = \epsilon, S_C^2 = \epsilon + \left\lceil \frac{\epsilon}{1} \right\rceil 0.2 + \left\lceil \frac{\epsilon}{4} \right\rceil 1.2 = \epsilon + 1.4 \\ S_C^3 &= \epsilon + \left\lceil \frac{\epsilon + 1.4}{1} \right\rceil 0.2 + \left\lceil \frac{\epsilon + 1.4}{4} \right\rceil 1.2 = \epsilon + 1.6 \\ S_C^4 &= \epsilon + \left\lceil \frac{\epsilon + 1.6}{1} \right\rceil 0.2 + \left\lceil \frac{\epsilon + 1.6}{4} \right\rceil 1.2 = \epsilon + 1.6 \end{aligned}$$

If we now let  $\epsilon$  go to 0 we will have that  $S_A = 0, S_B = 0.2, S_C = 1.6$ . The same result can also be derived by simply drawing the schedule, starting from the critical instant.

8.

a. The following queues are involved:

- the ReadyQueue
- the TimeQueue
- the monitor queue associated with the monitor mon
- the waiting queue associated with the event (condition variable) nonFull
- the waiting queue associated with the event (condition variable) nonEmpty

b. There may be a scenario where none of the processes is blocked, i.e., the Readyqueue may contain maximum 7 processes (8 if we also count the Idle process).

There may be a scenario in which all the processes are sleeping, i.e., the TimeQueue may contain maximum 7 processes.

There may be a scenario in which there is one process executing inside the monitor and all the others are waiting for access, i.e., the monitor queue may contain maximum 6 processes.

There may be a scenario in which all the producer processes wants to enter a data element into a full buffer, i.e., the waiting queue associated with nonFull may contain maximum 3 processes.

There may be a scenario in which all the consumer processes wants to extract a data element from an empty buffer, i.e., the waiting queue associated with nonEmpty may contain maximum 4 processes.

**9.**    `int16_t multiply(int16_t X, int16_t Y, int16_t n)`  
      `{`  
          `int32_t Z;`  
  
          `Z = ((int32_t)X*Y) >> n;`  
          `if (Z > 32767)`  
              `Z = 32767 ;`  
          `if (Z < -32768)`  
              `Z = -32768;`  
  
          `return (int16_t)Z;`  
      `}`