$H_{\infty}$  Optimization of Coprime Factors.  $H_{\infty}$  Loop Shaping. v-Gap Metric

## **Reading Assignment**

Read [Zhou] Ch. 16, 17.2-3. Optional reading:

• McFarlane D. and K. Glover, "Robust Controller Design Using Normalized Coprime Factor Plant Descriptions", Lecture Notes in Control, 138, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

## **Exercises**

- E7.1 [Zhou] 16.3
- **E7.2** [Zhou] 16.5 (+16.6)
- E7.3 [Zhou] 16.8
- E7.4 Consider a simplified model of a satellite with two highly flexible solar arrays (Salehi, 10<sup>th</sup> IFAC Symposium, 1985)

$$\dot{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\omega^2 & -2\zeta\omega \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1.7319e - 05 \\ 0 \\ 3.7859e - 04 \end{pmatrix} u + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1.7319e - 05 \\ 0 \\ 3.7859e - 04 \end{pmatrix} v,$$
$$y = (1 & 0 & 1 & 0)x.$$

where  $\omega = 1.539 rad/sec$  is the frequency of the flexure mode and  $\zeta = 0.003$  is the flexural damping ratio. Here u is the control torque (Nm), v is a constant disturbance torque (Nm) and y is the roll angle measurement (rad).

Required performance specification: y must stay within  $0.04^{\circ} \approx 0.0007 rad$  pointing accuracy due to 0.3Nm step torque disturbances.

1. Using [Zhou,16.12] prove the following bound

$$|(I - PK)^{-1}P| \le \frac{\gamma}{|W|}$$

where  $W = W_1 W_2$  is a single shaping function (for SISO plants).

- **2.** Show that the performance specification is met for the constant shape function W = 2000.
- 3. Find the controller by  $H_{\infty}$  loop shaping procedure. Draw the Bode plots of the nominal P, shaped  $P_s$  and achieved  $WPK_{\infty}$  open loops. Compare.

- 2
- 4. Due to small steady-state error ( $\approx 0.018^{\circ}$ ) introduce another shaping function

$$W = \frac{10000(s+0.4)}{s}.$$

Show that the performance specification is met and the full steady-state disturbance rejection is guaranteed.

- 5. Repeat Item (c) for the new W.
- **E7.5** Calculate  $\delta_{\nu}(P_0, P_z)$  and  $\delta_{\nu}(P_0, P_{\eta})$  for plants

$$P_0 = rac{10(s^2+1)}{s^2(s^2+2)}, \quad P_z = rac{10(s^2+1.1)}{s^2(s^2+2)}, \quad P_\eta = rac{10(s^2+1)}{s^2(s^2+1.8)}$$

and conclude about a sensitivity of  $\delta_{\nu}$  to the variation in the imaginary axis zeros and poles. Explain the result from the Riemann sphere interpretation of  $\delta_{\nu}$ .

## Hand-In problems:

- **H7.1** [Zhou] 16.9. Plot the Bode diagram of the specified  $P_s$  and the achieved  $WK_{\infty}P$  loop shape.
- **H7.2** Let a nominal plant be given by  $P = \frac{s-1}{s(s+2)}$  and we select W = k, a constant, as the shaping function. Thus  $P_s = \frac{k(s-1)}{s(s+2)}$ .
  - 1. Calculate  $b_{opt}(P_s)$  and  $\delta_v(P, P_s)$  for 4 different choices of W = k

 $k = [.1 \ 1 \ 5 \ 10].$ 

What k's give better loop shape for controller design?

- 2. For all 4 choices of W: Find the optimal controller  $K_{\infty}$ . Plot the Bode diagram of the specified loop shape  $P_s$  and the achieved loop shape  $WK_{\infty}P$ .
- 3. Explain the result from performance limitation point of view.